

## REGISTER OF CLASSIFICATION DECISIONS

Date of entry in Register: 04 December 2001  
Name of applicant/court: District Court At Rotorua  
Applicant to the Labelling Body: Not Applicable  
Title of Publication: Untitled, comprising: Piss Power / Doctor Piss /  
Color Climax Bestsellers Programme No. 231  
Other Known Titles: Piss Power  
Director: Not Stated  
Producer: Not Stated  
Publisher: Not Applicable  
Author: Not Applicable  
Format: Video Recording VHS/PAL  
Country of Origin: Not Stated  
Language: German, English

.....  
**Components of film originally examined:**

Components:	Piss Power	Running time:	87.27
	Doctor Piss		54.37
	Color Climax Bestsellers Programme No. 231		41.36
	Copyright Warning Notice		0.13
	Philips Logo Notice		0.07
	Copyright Warning Notice		0.13

Total running time: 184.13

Excision/Alteration: Not Applicable.

Reason(s) for Excision:

Not Applicable.

... Please turn over

Classification Decision:

Objectionable.

Display Conditions:

Not Applicable.

Descriptive Note:

Not Applicable.

.....

Direction to issue a label has been given on: Not Applicable.

.....

**SUMMARY OF THE REASONS FOR DECISION:**

The untitled video recording comprising: *Piss Power / Doctor-Piss / Color Climax Bestsellers Programme No. 231* is classified as:  
Objectionable.

The video recording concentrates on urination interspersed with explicit presentations of sexual activity. These presentations are included to legitimise this behaviour for the purpose of sexual arousal and therefore the video recording promotes and supports the use of urine in association with sexual conduct.

The criteria applied by the Classification Office resulting in the limit on the availability of this publication are prescribed by law. For the reasons given above, this publication is considered to promote and support an activity listed in s3(2) of the Films, Videos, and Publications Classification Act 1993, thus deeming the publication objectionable.

In regard of the New Zealand Bill of Rights Act 1990, the classification of this publication interferes with the freedom of expression, but this is an outcome that is consistent with Parliament's intention that publications falling under s3(2) are deemed to be objectionable. The classification reflects the concern of "a free and democratic society" to limit the availability of publications that promote and support activities such as the use of urine in association with sexual conduct.

OFLC Ref: 101655